Learning An Anaphoricity Determination Model Combining Preceding and Local Contextual Information

Ryu IIDA Computational Linguistics Lab. ryu-i@is.naist.jp

[COE technical presentation, 25 February 2005]

Background

- A huge amount of text data on the Web
- For handling such a text data on ubiquitous computing network, Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques are important
 Machine Translation, Information Extraction and Question Answering
- Previous work on NLP have limited their target onto sentence
 - Linguistic phenomena and problems crossing multiple sentences are relatively unexplored
- We tackle on of the discourse related processing: coreference resolution

(Noun phrases) coreference resolution

Coreference resolution is the process of determining whether two expressions in natural language refer to the same entity in the world

A federal judge in Pittsburgh issued a temporary restraining order preventing Trans World Airlines from buying additional shares of USAir Group Inc. antecedent anaphor The order, requested in a suit filed by USAir, dealt another blow to TWA's bid to buy the company for \$52 a share.

non-anaphor

- Coreference resolution is decomposed into two sub processes
 Anaphoricity determination is the task of classifying whether a given noun phrase (NP) is *anaphoric* or *non-anaphoric*
 - 2. Antecedent identification is the identification of the antecedent of a given anaphoric NP



Anaphoricity determination

- Early corpus-based work on coreference resolution does not address anaphoricity determination (Hobbs '78, Lappin and Leass '94)
 - Assuming that the coreference resolution system knows a priori all the anaphoric noun phrases
- This problem has been paid attention by an increasing number of researchers

(Bean and Riloff `99, Ng and Cardie `02, Uryupina `03, Ng `04)

- Determining anaphoricity is not a trivial problem
- Overall performance of coreference resolution crucially depends on the accuracy of anaphoricity determination
- The problems of anaphoricity determination is even more critical in case of Japanese, because of the absence of articles
- Our aim is improving the performance of anaphoricity determination for the overall performance of coreference resolution in Japanese

Essential information for anaphoricity determination

Two linguistic clues :

- 1. Preceding contextual information
 - Antecedent information
- Local contextual information
 Non-anaphoric information

1. Antecedent information

Information extracted from pairs between an anaphor candidate and an antecedent candidate

A federal judge in Pittsburgh issue a temporary restraining order preventing Trans World Arrlines from buying additional shares of USAir Group Inc. anaphor candidate The order, requested in a suit filed by USAir dealt another blow to TWA's bid to buy the company for \$52 a share.

USAir Group Inc USAir Coreferent

Anaphor candidate "USAir" has the corresponded antecedent "USAir Group Inc"

=> "USAir" is judged as anaphor



Noun phrases Information that contrast with anaphor information





Proposed approach

- Combining the advantages of
 - search-based model
 - classification model
- We have an advantage to utilize both
 - 1. antecedent candidate as the preceding contextual information
 - 2. non-anaphoric instances

2-step processing:





Experiments

- Empirical evaluation on anaphoricity determination of noun phrases and zero pronouns in Japanese
- Data (newspaper article corpus)
 - Noun phrases : 876 anaphors and 6,292 non-anaphors
 -> detecting anaphors
 - Zero pronouns: 4,225 anaphors and 1,957 non-anaphors
 -> detecting non-anaphors
- Conduct 10-fold cross-validation with support vector machines
- Comparison among three models
 - 1. Search-based model (Soon et al. `01)
 - 2. Classification model (Ng and Cardie `02)
 - 3. Proposed model

Results on noun phrases anaphoricity determination





Results on zero pronouns anaphoricity determination 4,225 anaphors and 1,957 non-anaphors (detecting non-anaphors) 10.5 Precision of all model got worse than NPs 1.8 60 Our model outperformed other models 10.8 11.5 11.4 6.3 21 12 12 14 12.2 12.0 1.1 1.0 0.3 Real

Results

9-points average precision (Recall = 0.1, 0.2, ..., 0.9)

		Search-based approach	Classification approach	Proposed approach
	Noun phrases	63.6%	49.2%	81.1%
	Zero pronouns	44.2%	47.3%	50.9%

- Prec. of zero pronouns << Prec. of noun phrases -> Difference of extracted features
 - Noun phrases: string sequence information
 - (e.g. antecedent "USAir Group INC." and anaphor "USAir")
 - Zero pronouns: such information is not introduced because zero pronouns have no surface strings.

Conclusion

- We proposed an anaphoricity determination model
 - Preceding contextual information
 - Non-anaphoric instances
- Proposed model outperformed previous machine learning-based models
 - Noun phrases: 49.2% -> 81.1%
 - Zero pronouns: 44.2% -> 50.9%

Future work

- Noun phrases:
 - Analysis of the definiteness (whether a target NP is definite or not)
- Zero pronouns:
 - Improvement of the quality of selectional restrictions
 - Analysis of the relation between anaphoricity and discourse structure